



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,334	12/01/2003	Andreas H. von Flotow	367618016US1	5497
25996	7590	03/19/2009		
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA			JONES, HEATHIER RAE	
P.O. BOX 1247				
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/726,334		Applicant(s) VON FLOTOW ET AL.	
	Examiner HEATHER R. JONES		Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HEATHER R. JONES.

(3) Anthony Johnson.

(2) Maurice Piro (Reg. No. 33,273).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Riconda et al. (PGpub 2002/0130953) and Claus et al. (Patent 7,133,067).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An agreement was reached that Claus et al. fails to disclose adjusting the display based on the difference in the location of the object within the image from one frame to the next frame, but rather Claus et al. adjusts the display based on information received from gyroscopes. Therefore, a further search/consideration will be required once the applicant's arguments are filed and on record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621
--	---